

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/627,142	07/25/2003	William P. Barker	83086	3920
75	590 12/18/2003		EXAM	INER
Office Of Counsel, Bldg 112T Naval Undersea Warfare Center			RICHARDSON, JOHN A	
			. D.T.T.UM	
Division, Newport 1176 Howell Street Newport, RI 02841-1708			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3641	
			B . B	•
		DATE MAILED: 12/18/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/627,142	BARKER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner					
·	John Richardson	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		he correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to ause the application to become ABAND.	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ju						
	,					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the since a specific reference was included in the first since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the Attachment(s)	s have been received. s have been received in Application of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 st sentence of the specification visional application has been a priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 1	cation No eived in this National Stage eived. 19(e) (to a provisional application) n or in an Application Data Sheet. received.				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summ	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1/2. 	5) Notice of Inform	al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

ěi 🖂 🔓

Application/Control Number: 10/627,142

Art Unit: 3641

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Non Final Rejection

1). The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2). The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3). Claims 2 to 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 2 recites the limitation "said annulet indents" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,142

Art Unit: 3641

Page 3

4). Claims 1 to 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wray (U.S. 6,595,098).

The reference discloses a structure that is inherently capable of operating and functioning in the manner claimed, comprising an expandable elastomeric disk (item 16) for retaining fluid pressure on one side of said disk, the said disk taking the form as represented by item 16a wherein two opposing protruding curvatures of the said disk are formed about a perpendicular central axis / plane (see Figure 1), the said disk is retained at the periphery by annulet details as shown in the Figure 1 for the internal wall structure disk retention feature, it is the examiner's position that the disk configuration as depicted in item 16a, discloses first and second points of contact between the disk and the housing item 20 edges as shown in Figure 1, the said first and second points of contacts are by inspection of the Figure 1 detail such that the said first point of contact on the fluid pressure side of the disk from the center-line axis is less than the second point of contact on the non-pressure side of the disk from the center-line axis, and that the connection between item 16 and item 20, constitute dovetail-type retentions. Relating to claims 2-4, the reference discloses indent features for the disk / housing interface that read on the applicant's indent feature as shown in the applicant's Figure 5, item 37, relating to claims 5-6, the reference discloses periphery positioning of the said disk and that the said disk is in connection with a pressurized system (item 40).

Application/Control Number: 10/627,142

Art Unit: 3641

Page 4

As to limitations which are considered to be inherent in a reference, note the case law In re Ludke, 169 USPQ 563, In re Swinehart, 169 USPQ 226, In re Fitzgerald, 205 USPQ 594, In re Best et al., 195 USPQ 430, and In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685,688.

It is noted that a claim containing a "recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from prior art apparatus" if the prior art teaches all the <u>structural</u> limitations of the claim. *In re Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647.

Claims directed to apparatus must be distinguished from prior art in terms of structure rather than functions. *In re Danly*, 120 USPQ 528, 531.

Apparatus claims cover what a device *is*, not what a device *does. <u>Hewlett-Packard Co.</u>*<u>v. Bausch & Lomb Inc.</u>, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528.

As set forth in MPEP§ 2115, a recitation in a claim to the material or article worked upon, does not serve to limit an apparatus claim.

- 5). The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 6). Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Richardson whose telephone number is (703) 305

Application/Control Number: 10/627,142

Art Unit: 3641

0764. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7.00 AM to

4.30 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Carone, can be reached on (703) 306 4198. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305 7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308

1113.

John Richardson, PE,

December 12 2003.

MICHAEL J. CARDNE SUPERVISORY PATENT/EXAMINER Page 5

5